IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION No. 10694 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

TANUJA WD/O.RAJESH BAROT - Applicant(s)

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT & 4 - Respondent(s)

==========

Appearance :
MR MANAV A MEHTA for Applicant(s) : 1,
MR. H.M.PRACHHAK, APP for Respondent(s) : 1,
MR. PUSHPDATT VYAS for MR BS PATEL for Respondent(s) : 2 - 5.
MRS RANJAN B PATEL for Respondent(s) : 2 - 5.
==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 10/10/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr. Manav A. Mehta, learned advocate of the applicant, upon instructions received from the applicant, does not

not press this application and urged to dispose of the petition in view of the fact that the trial against respondent nos. 2 to 5 has not only been commenced but it is at the final stage of hearing of the arguments.

Mr. H.M.Prachhak, learned APP for respondent State and Mr. Pushpdatt Vyas, learned advocate for respondent nos. 2 to 5 have no objection if the petition is disposed of since it is not pressed for.

Hence, petition stand disposed of as not pressed for. Rule is discharged.

(A.M.Kapadia,J)

Jayanti*